UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE			UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspta.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/598,662	06/21/2000	Scott Joseph Duggan	RCA 90,038	5364
759	0 04/02/2003			
Joseph S Tripoli Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc Patent Operation			EXAMINER	
			CRUZ, MAGDA	
Two Independence Way P O Box 5312 Princeton, NJ 08543-5312				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
1	·	09/598,662	DUGGAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Magda Cruz	2851	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr p period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 p period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply	s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U S C & 133)	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>21 <i>June</i> 2000</u> .		
2a)	This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-final.		
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims	n for allowance except for formal mati tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E	ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from consideration.		
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>14-22</u> is/are allowed.			
6)🛛	Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-12 is/are rejected	ed.		
7)🛛	Claim(s) 9 and 13 is/are objected to			
	Claim(s) are subject to restric on Papers	tion and/or election requirement.		
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
10)🛛 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 June 2000</u>	is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected	to by the Examiner.	
		ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya		
11) 🗌 🖥	The proposed drawing correction filed	d on is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are red	quired in reply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.		
riority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	of the priority documents have been r	·	
* S	application from the Intern	ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). n for a list of the certified copies not re	-	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).	
		guage provisional application has been been been been been been been bee		
ttachment		-		
) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P ⁻ lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	ΓΟ-948) 5) 🗌 Notice of Int	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

9

5

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there is a spelling error in the title, that is "sphrical" instead of -- spherical --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

2. This application has been filed with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

3. The drawings are objected to because on Figure 4, the examiner believes that element 52 (the one on the upper side of element 14) is up side down, based on the position of element 52 on the lower side of element 14, and how said element is supposed to be engaged with the other engagement surface (element 18). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "56" and "16" (Figure 4) have both been used to designate the "spherical bracket". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings

are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

5. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "62a, 62b and 62c" has been used to designate both "eccentric" (page 5, line 14) and "cam slots" (page 5, line 12). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

6. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-8 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over VanBreemen et al. in view of Haydu.

VanBreemen et al. (US Patent Number 4,556,913) discloses a mounting system (means for positioning the optical components, not shown in the drawings, but

inherently part of the cabinet) for a light projector assembly (12) of a projection television comprising a cabinet (11), wherein the projector assembly's aim at a virtual center of the screen (path 24).

VanBreemen et al. teaches the salient features of the present invention, except the first and second spherical means co-acting with one another to restrict the movement of the light projector assembly to a portion of a spherical path, wherein the spherical wall includes a first spherical surface and the spherical bracket includes a second spherical surface which slidably engages the first spherical surface of the wall. However, VanBreemen et al. discloses locating features with the structure and optically aligning the components with one another (Figure 1).

Haydu (US Patent Number 5,113,320) teaches first and second spherical means (40, 90) co-acting with one another to restrict the movement of the light projector assembly (Figure 7) to a portion of a spherical path, wherein the spherical wall includes a first spherical surface (90) and the spherical bracket (86) includes a second spherical surface (40) that slidably engages the first spherical surface (90) of the wall.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize spherical means disclosed by Haydu, in substitution of the locating features disclosed by VanBreemen et al.'s invention, for the purpose of defining a set of points having a common radius centered on a point (column 2, lines 56-57).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 14-22 are allowed.

10. Claims 9 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not teach a mounting system for a light projector assembly of a projection television, comprising in combination with the additionally recited elements, adjusting means including eccentrics that co-act with apertures and cam slots respectively formed in the spherical wall and bracket, wherein the spherical wall and bracket co-act with one another to rotate the light projector assembly along three axes, thereby restricting movement of the projector assembly to a portion of a spherical path and enabling adjustment of an image generated by the projector assembly on a screen of a projection television to a desired geometry.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Magda Cruz whose telephone number is (703)308-6367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:00-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Russ Adams can be reached on (703)308-2847. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9318 for regular communications and (703)872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1782.

RUSSELL ADA

RUSSELL ADAMS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Magda Cruz Patent Examiner March 25, 2003